

Article Type: Research  
J Name: Modern Phytomorphology  
Short name: MP  
ISSN: ISSN 2226-3063/eISSN 2227-9555  
Year: 2025  
Volume: 19



Page numbers: 121-128  
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.200121  
(10.5281/zenodo.2025-19)

Short Title: Temporal patterns of *Diaphorina citri* (Hemiptera: Liviidae) population on selected citrus varieties in response to weather variables in Faisalabad, Pakistan

#### RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Temporal patterns of *Diaphorina citri* (Hemiptera: Liviidae) population on selected citrus varieties in response to weather variables in Faisalabad, Pakistan

Zeeshan Javed, Muhammad Asrar\*, Syed Makhdoom Hussain, Azhar Rasul

Department of Zoology, Faculty of Life Sciences, Government College University Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan

\*Corresponding author: Muhammad Asrar, Department of Zoology, Faculty of Life Sciences, Government College University Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan, E-mail: asrar@gcuf.edu.pk

Received: 16.01.2025, Manuscript No: mp-25-158829 | Editor Assigned: 18.01.2025, Pre-QC No. mp-25-158829(PQ) | Reviewed: 27.01.2025, QC No. mp-25-158829(Q) | Revised: 02.02.2025, Manuscript No. mp-25-158829(R) | Accepted: 08.02.2025 | Published: 14.02.2025

## Abstract

Citrus Greening (CG), also called Huanglongbing (HLB), is an invasive bacterial infection of citrus crops, caused by *Diaphorina citri*, or citrus psyllid. This bacterium is a major threat to productivity as well as export of citrus crops. In the current research, the population dynamics of *D. citri* was surveyed on Mosambi, Feutrell and Kinnow orchards of district Faisalabad from June 2023 to 2024. In addition, different abiotic factors i.e. temperature, rainfall, humidity, sunshine, and evaporation rates were assessed to investigate their relation with the distribution of *D. citri*. The month of September and October were found to be the most active time period of *D. citri* growth, while, their lowest population was witnessed from the month of December till March. The population of *D. citri* was maximum when abiotic factors, i.e. 21.54°C-34.32°C temperature, 57.42°C-82.57% relative humidity, 7.57 hours sunlight duration, 1.90 mm evaporation, and no rainfall were recorded. The population of *D. citri* was negatively correlated with humidity and rainfall; however, temperature, sunshine, and evaporation rates were observed to be positively correlated. These findings will be helpful for *D. citri* pest management in district Faisalabad.

**Keywords:** *Diaphorina citri*, Abiotic factors, Population dynamics, Correlation, Faisalabad

## Introduction

Citrus belongs to the family Rutaceae, ranks first among the fruit crops in Pakistan, making up 40% of the total fruit production. Pakistan produces 2.89 million tons annually, ranking among the world's top 15 citrus producers (Sajid *et al.* 2021). Approximately 95% of citrus is merely produced by Punjab (Fateh *et al.* 2017) and 90% of Pakistani citrus exports comprise kinnow (Usman *et al.* 2018). The world's top citrus producers are China and Brazil, which together produce nearly 45 million tons annually. The USA, India, Mexico, and Spain follow with 10.7 million tons, 8.6 million tons, 7.2 million tons, and 5.5 million tons of production, respectively (Ullah *et al.* 2017). Citrus fruits are important sources of flavonoids, vitamins, amino acids, fatty acids, phenolic acids, carotenoids, and minerals (Liu *et al.* 2022). The common cultivated varieties are Oranges (Mosambi, Valencia, Red blood, and Jaffa), Mandarines (Kinnow, Sangtra), Grapefruits (Shamber, Duncan), Lime (Sweet lime, Kaghzi lime), and lemons (Eureka, Lisbon, rough), etc. (Cheema and Jamali 2020).

In Pakistan, citrus export is one of the main source of foreign exchange. This industry has been facing a major threat i.e. citrus greening or huanglongbing since the late 80s (Roma Tandel and Pandya 2020). This disease was first reported in 1908 in Taiwan and then spread to other countries (Ajene et al. 2020). The symptoms include chlorosis, tree stunting, low flowering and fruit yield that ultimately results in decreasing life span of citrus trees (Sajid et al. 2022). *Diaphornia citri* (Hemiptera: Liviidae), commonly known as Asian citrus psyllid is a vector of bacteria '*Candidatus Liberibacter*', a putative causative agent of citrus greening disease, known also as Huanglongbing (HLB), considered one of the most destructive diseases of citrus worldwide (Coralla et al. 2021). *D. citri* was first described in Taiwan in 1907, and it is thought to be native to Southwestern Asia (Halbert et al. 2004). Moreover, *D. citri* is a sap-sucking insect pest specie, responsible for direct or indirect damages of citrus fruit quality and tree health. The insect feeds on sap of younger leaves or buds and can inject toxins that produce deformations that can cause the death of the apical bud (Iqbal et al. 2020).

*D. citri* result in massive economic losses by reducing yields of citrus plants worldwide (Martini et al. 2014; Monzo and Stansly 2017). To control their spread, detailed information about their population dynamics is very crucial (Ahmad et al. 2023). Abiotic factors that impact their population dynamics include temperature, rainfall, and humidity (Sajid et al. 2022; Farooq et al. 2019). This study was designed to investigate the population dynamics of *Diaphorina citri* on three citrus varieties: Mosambi, Kinnow, and Feutrell. The research aimed to monitor and compare the pest population across these citrus types to identify any varietal differences in susceptibility or preference. Additionally, the study assessed the influence of various abiotic factors, including temperature, humidity, rainfall, sunshine duration, and evaporation, on the population distribution of *D. citri*. By analyzing the correlation between pest dynamics and environmental variables, the study sought to provide valuable insights for optimizing pest management practices and mitigating the impact of *D. citri* in citrus orchards.

## Materials and Methods

### Study area

This study was designed and conducted to monitor the population fluctuation of *Diaphornia citri* (nymph and adults) in citrus orchards of District Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan at standard week interval from June 2023 to 2024.

### Data collection

The data regarding *D. citri* population was recorded after one-week interval by randomly selecting 20 plants. Five twigs were selected in each of four directions of each plant for counting nymph and adult population of *D. citri* (Zeb et al. 2011). Weather parameters viz; temperature (maximum and minimum), relative humidity (morning and evening), rainfall, bright sunshine and evaporation were collected from meteorological data recorded at the observatory of plant physiology section Agronomic Research Institute, Faisalabad (31.4187° N, 73. 0791° E). Data collection began one standard week prior to the initial appearance of the *D. citri* population and continued until the final week of pest presence.

### Statistical analysis

The collected data was analyzed statistically using IBM SPSS software. Correlation and regression were performed to determine the relationship between *D. citri* population with respect to abiotic factors (Steel et al. 1997). Line graphs were constructed by using Graphpad Prism 10 (Boston, Massachusetts, USA) to visually depict the fluctuations in the population of *D. citri* across various time intervals.

## Results

### Population fluctuations of *Diaphorina citri*

The *D. citri* population (nymphs and adults/twig) were recorded from June 2023 to 2024 on three citrus varieties (Mosambi, Kinnow, and Feutrell) in orchards of Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan. *D. citri* was found to be the highest (6.15/twig on kinnow, 5.20/twig on Mosambi, and 4.65/twig on Feutrell) during the 2<sup>nd</sup> week of October 2023. These interpretations coincided with a maximum temperature of 34.25°C, relative humidity of 74.14%, and an evaporation rate of 1.90 mm. After October 2023, the population of *D. citri* began to decline across all selected citrus varieties. The minimum population of *D. citri* (0.65 insects per twig–0.50 insects per twig) was recorded from December 2023 to March 2024.

Remarkably, no *D. citri* individuals were observed from January 2024 to the first two weeks of February 2024, likely due to extreme environmental conditions.

**Relation between *Diaphorina citri* population and abiotic factors**

The positive correlation was noted between *D. citri* population and various abiotic factors including temperature, bright sunshine, and evaporation (Fig. 1; Tab. 1). Temperature was found to be the most important abiotic factor significantly prompting *D. citri* population. However, the significant negative correlation was recorded between *D. citri* counts and rainfall, relative humidity. The highest population was witnessed during 2<sup>nd</sup> week of October when maximum, minimum temperature, relative humidity during morning and evening, bright sunlight, rainfall, and evaporation was recorded to be 34.32, 21.54 (°C), 82.57, 57.42%, 7.57 hrs., 0 mm, and 1.90 mm, respectively. Tab. 1 represents population fluctuations of *D. citri* influenced by temperature, relative humidity, rainfall, sunshine and evaporation. Regression models reveal that temperature and relative humidity emerged as the primary factors influencing fluctuations in the *D. citri* population.


**Table 1.** Average numbers of *D. citri*/twig on citrus varieties (Kinnow, Mosambi, and Feutrell) with respect to their correlation with abiotic factors (temperature, relative humidity, bright sunshine, rainfall, and evaporation) and regression coefficient.

Standard week	No. of <i>D. citri</i> / twig			Temp (°C)			RH (%)			Bright sunshine (hrs)	Rainfall (mm)	Evapo-ration (mm)
	Kinnow	Mosambi	Feutrell	Max.	Min.	Avg.	Mor.	Eve.	Avg.			
	V <sup>1</sup>	V <sup>2</sup>	V <sup>3</sup>	X <sup>1</sup>	X <sup>2</sup>	X <sup>3</sup>	X <sup>4</sup>	X <sup>5</sup>	X <sup>6</sup>			
June, 2023	4.35	3.9	3.1	37.64	22.5	30.08	58.71	47.28	52.99	9.42	0.2	2.54
	4.4	3.8	3.35	40.4	26.31	33.35	64.14	54	59.7	10.28	27	3.76
	4.75	3.85	3.7	39.61	27.57	33.59	64.42	51.28	57.85	8.85	2.4	3.81
	4.8	3.95	3.87	38.8	27.85	33.37	70.85	56	63.42	7.71	9.8	4.15
	4.35	3.65	3.5	37.78	26.91	32.35	84	60.42	72.21	6.14	4.78	3.15
July	4.4	3.3	3.05	35.38	26.74	31.06	87	71.71	79.35	6.14	16.6	2.12
	4.75	3.25	3.35	34.78	27.85	31.32	83.85	79.71	81.78	4.5	20.65	1.85
	4.8	3.85	3.4	34.6	27.28	30.94	84.28	76.14	80.21	3.57	10.2	1.61
August	5	4.5	3.95	38.5	28.87	33.68	79.57	60.71	70.14	9.28	0	1.94
	5.15	4.05	3.7	38.5	28.64	33.57	77.42	60.85	69.14	9.42	0	1.92
	5.25	4.35	4	38.76	29.31	33.99	75.71	60	67.85	6.85	1	2.27
	5.45	4.65	4.05	37.24	27.57	32.4	75	65.14	70.07	6.42	7.5	1.99
September	5.6	4.4	4.1	38.52	26.65	32.59	71	54.85	62.92	9.28	0	2.1

	5.5	4.85	4.25	39.0 7	28.2 8	33.6 7	76	56.28	66.1 4	7.42	0	2.28
	5.45	4.65	4.3	34.3 5	26.0 4	30.2	85.71	75.42	80.5 7	5.71	4.93	2.7
	5.55	4.7	4.4	33.5 2	22.7 1	28.1 2	87.57	69.42	78.5	6.71	33.93	1.78
	5.65	4.9	4.55	36.0 7	20.1 4	28.1	74.14	48.28	61.2 1	8.85	0	1.55
	6.15	5.2	4.65	34.3 2	21.5 4	27.9 3	82.57	57.42	70	7.57	0	1.9
<b>October</b>	5.75	4.45	4.1	28.0 7	17.1 8	22.6 2	87.71	68	77.8 5	7.42	12.5	1.82
	5.85	4.2	3.9	32	17.7 1	24.8 5	86.85	57.14	72	7.85	0	1.42
	4.7	3.85	3.7	28.8 2	18.2 8	23.5 5	93.85	67.85	80.8 5	3	0	0.5
	4.75	3.5	3.2	26.7 5	14.1 4	20.4 5	89.57	61.71	75.6 4	4.82	4.2	1.1
<b>November</b>	4.55	3.4	3.15	25.7 8	11.5	18.6 4	90.28	54.85	72.5 7	4.35	0	0.77
	3.9	3.5	2.85	24.8 8	15.4 6	18.1 7	90	66.14	78.0 7	3.42	0	1.35
	0.65	0.3	0.25	25.3	9.55	17.4 2	91.28	53	72.1 4	7.14	0	0.79
	0.5	0.15	0.05	22.1 4	6.84	14.4 9	94.14	61.71	77.9 2	5.57	0	0.6
<b>December</b>	0.45	0.3	0.25	22.0 1	6.2	14.1	91.85	58.42	75.1 4	6.28	0	0.45
	0.1	0.05	0.02	23.6	7.21	15.4	92.28	59.42	75.8 5	5.28	0	0.67
	0	0	0	11.1 2	6.88	9	88.85	81.71	85.2 8	1	0	0.33
	0	0	0	11.0 1	5.07	8.04	97	85.14	91.0 7	3	0	0.12
<b>January, 24</b>	0	0	0	11.5 7	4.65	8.11	98.85	80.85	89.8 5	2	0	0.12
	0	0	0	14.6 1	5.8	10.2	91.71	68.85	80.2	4	0	0.45
	0	0	0	19.9 5	8.07	14.0 1	85	46.57	65.7 8	5	2.2	0.9
<b>February</b>	0	0	0	23.8 1	6.08	14.9 5	84.14	39.28	61.7 1	7.42	0	1.06
	0.55	0.35	0.2	24.2 8	9.47	16.8 7	87.57	47.28	67.4 2	6.14	1.2	1.4

	0.65	0.45	0.1	23.3 8	8.55	15.9 7	80.28	39.85	60.0 7	6.71	4.1	1.17
	0.9	0.75	0.45	21.4 4	8.24	14.8 4	83.14	49.85	66.5	6.28	1.93	1.15
<b>March</b>	0.8	0.4	0.3	26.7	11.8 5	19.2 7	74.85	46.71	60.7 8	6.57	0.6	1.45
	0.7	0.45	0.25	30.9 2	13.2 1	22.0 7	74.28	37.42	55.8 5	8.42	0	1.89
	0.5	0.15	0.1	30.5 2	16.8 8	23.7	81.28	50.85	66.0 7	5.28	5.5	1.69
	2.7	1.9	1.75	33.7 6	16.9 3	25.3 4	59.29	30.86	45.0 7	9.36	0	2.8
<b>April</b>	3.25	2.1	1.85	33.1 4	19.7	26.4 2	61.14	44.86	53	5.57	3.6	2.64
	3.35	2.95	2.65	32.6 4	19.0 4	25.8 4	67.57	45.29	56.4 3	8.57	0	1.97
	3.7	3.15	3.05	40.8 6	24.8 6	32.8 6	60.86	34.86	47.8 6	8.57	0.5	2.99
	4.9	3.6	3.35	37.9 7	20.2 8	29.1 2	54.28	28	41.1 4	7.42	0	2.35
<b>May</b>	5.1	4.45	3.95	39.2 4	24.5 2	31.8 8	57.85	39.14	48.5	9.35	6	3.67
	5.85	4.95	4.1	43.9 2	27.1 1	35.5 2	42.86	24.71	33.7 9	11	0	4.05
	5.9	4.75	4.25	44.9 6	27.0 9	36.0 2	47.71	28.43	38.0 7	11.29	1.6	4.21
	5.35	4.8	4.35	41.9 1	27.3 2	34.6 2	52.14	31.28	41.7 1	9.85	1.6	4.4
<b>Jun-24</b>	5.6	4.95	4.05	42.9 1	27.4 4	35.1 7	44.14	22.14	33.1 4	11	0	4.93
	4.3	3.65	3.05	41.6 1	28.6 2	35.1 2	56	36.71	46.3 5	9.71	9.8	4.59
	3.8	3.15	3	41.1 8	28.9	35.0 4	57.57	36.28	46.9 2	10.28	0	4.12
			<b>V<sup>1</sup></b>	0.80 1**	0.85 1**	0.83 8**	- 0.457 **	- 0.116 NS	- 0.29 6*	0.482**	0.264 <sup>NS</sup>	0.597**
<b>Correlation coefficient (r)</b>			<b>V<sup>2</sup></b>	0.80 6**	0.85 9**	0.84 3**	- 0.477 **	- 0.131 NS	- 0.31 4*	0.505**	0.246 <sup>NS</sup>	0.620**
			<b>V<sup>3</sup></b>	0.79 8**	0.86 0**	0.84 1**	- 0.445 **	- 0.095 NS	- 0.27 8*	0.478**	0.266 <sup>NS</sup>	0.603**
	<b>Regression</b>		<b>V<sup>1</sup></b>	64.1 1	72.4 7	70.1 6	20.9	1.35	8.77	23.23	6.99	35.65

V <sup>2</sup>	65	73.7 1	71.0 8	22.75	1.71	9.87	25.49	6.06	38.44
V <sup>3</sup>	63.6 1	74.0 1	70.6 5	19.79	0.91	7.76	22.83	7.09	36.38



**Figure 1.** Effect of different meteorological factors [maximum temperature (a), minimum temperature (b), relative humidity morning (c), relative humidity evening (d), bright sunshine (e), rainfall (f), and evaporation (g)] with number of *D. citri*/twig regarding three varieties i.e., Kinnow, Mosambi and Feutrell.

## Discussion

The results indicated that the peak population of adult and nymph *D. citri* in the Faisalabad district was recorded in September and October, whereas the lowest populations were observed from December to March. These results align with the findings of [Fiaz et al. \(2018\)](#) and [Li et al. \(1996\)](#). The present study reveals a positive correlation between mean weekly temperature and the population of adult and nymph Asian citrus psyllids, indicating that *D. citri* population tend to increase with rising temperatures at the onset of spring. These findings are consistent with the results reported by [Ahmed et al. \(2004\)](#) and [Fiaz et al. \(2018\)](#).

Existing research indicates that temperature, rainfall, and maximum/minimum temperatures play a crucial role in the growth of *D. citri* population. However, relative humidity appears to have a negligible impact on *D. citri* population.

These findings align with previous studies by [Fiaz et al. \(2018\)](#) and [Devi and Sharma \(2014\)](#). The significant correlation between these three environmental factors and *D. citri* population suggests that their development is heavily influenced by these conditions. Temperature, in particular, has a substantial effect on the increase or decrease of *D. citri* population, as reported by [Bayles et al. \(2017\)](#).

The spread of *D. citri* population is primarily driven by temperature fluctuations ([Bayles et al. 2017](#)). Temperature plays a significant role in *D. citri* egg-laying. While *D. citri* females can live for up to 300 days at 16°C, they do not lay eggs at this temperature ([Fung and Chen 2006](#)). However, they begin to lay eggs when the temperature reaches 26°C ([Liu and Tsai 2000](#)). Additionally, *D. citri* females lay more eggs at temperatures between 28°C and 32°C ([Fung and Chen 2006](#); [Liu and Tsai 2000](#)). Temperature also affects psyllid survival, as they can tolerate temperatures as high as 45°C and as low as -6°C. Overall, temperature influences the development, reproduction, and lifespan of *D. citri* population.

Rainfall plays a significant role in the growth and decline of *D. citri* population. [Setamou et al. \(2023\)](#) found that heavy rainfall is associated with lower *D. citri* population, as it washes away eggs and nymphs. In contrast, low rainfall conditions favor the growth of *D. citri* population ([Devi and Sharma 2014](#)). The seasonal distribution of *D. citri* is entirely dependent on rainfall patterns ([Devi and Sharma 2014](#)).

## Conclusion

The study determined that the population of *Diaphorina citri* reached its peak during the second week of October, indicating this period as the most active phase for the pest. The present study concluded that temperature, bright sunshine, and evaporation exhibited a strong positive correlation with the population dynamics of *D. citri* on three citrus cultivars (Mosambi, Kinnow, and Feutrell). This denotes that a linear increase in these variables paralleled to an increase in the population of *D. citri*. In contrast, rainfall and morning relative humidity showed a non-significant influence on the population of *D. citri*. These findings highlight the influence of climatic variables on *D. citri* populations, supporting the development of predictive models and improved pest management strategies.

## Conflict of interest

The authors affirm that they have no conflicts of interest to disclose, either financial or non-financial, that could have influenced the outcomes or interpretations of this research.

## Authors contributions

ZA perform the whole study and wrote a manuscript. MA supervised the whole study and proof read the manuscript. SMH and AR reviewed the manuscript and provided suggestions to improve the previous version. The final manuscript has been read and authorized by all authors.

## Acknowledgement

The authors extend their heartfelt gratitude to the Department of Zoology, Government College University Faisalabad, for generously providing the necessary research facilities and support for this study.

## Funding

Not applicable

## Ethical approval

Not applicable



## References

- Ahmad S., Asim M., Majeed M.Z., Atiq M., Ali Y., Ahmad H.B., Akhtar N. (2023). Population dynamics and epidemiology of *Diaphorina citri* in relation to yield losses caused by citrus greening. *Punjab Univ. J. Zool.* **38**: 81-88.
- Ahmed S., Ahmad N., Khan R.R. (2004). Studies on population dynamics and chemical control of citrus psylla, *Diaphorina citri*. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* **6**: 970-973.
- Ajene I.J., Khamis F., Ballo S., Pietersen G., Van Asch B., Seid N., Mohamed S. (2020). Detection of Asian citrus psyllid (Hemiptera: Psyllidae) in Ethiopia: a new haplotype and its implication to the proliferation of Huanglongbing. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **113**: 1640-1647.
- Bayles B.R., Thomas S.M., Simmons G.S., Grafton-Cardwell E.E., Daugherty M.P. (2017). Spatiotemporal dynamics of the Southern California Asian citrus psyllid (*Diaphorina citri*) invasion. *PLoS One.* **12**: 0173226.
- Cheema I.A., Jamali H.K. (2020). Growth of citrus fruits in Pakistan. *Amazonia Investiga.* **9**: 74-81.
- Devi H.S., Sharma D.R. (2014). Impact of abiotic factors on build-up of citrus psylla, *Diaphorina citri* Kuwayama population in Punjab, India. *J. Appl. Nat. Sci.* **6**: 371-376.
- Farooq M.A., Atta B., Arif M.J., Saleem M.J., Ayub M.A., Akhtar M.F., Nadeem S. (2019). Effect of population dynamics of thrips on blemishes in relation to abiotic factors on fruiter early cultivar of citrus. *Pak. Entomol.* **41**: 175-184.
- Fateh F.S., Tariq M., Kazmi M.R., Abbassi N.A., Arif A.M. (2017). Prevalence of citrus decline in district Sargodha. *Pak. J. Agric. Sci.* **44**: 9-13.
- Fiaz M., Afzal M., Majeed M.Z. (2018). Influence of abiotic weather factors on population dynamics of asian citrus psyllid, *Diaphorina citri* kuwayama (Hemiptera: Psyllidae) in central Punjab, Pakistan. *J. Agricultural Res.* **56**: 35-40.
- Fung Y.C., Chen C.N. (2006). Effects of temperature and host plant on population parameters of the citrus psyllid (*Diaphorina citri* Kuwayama). *Formosan Entomol.* **26**: 109-123
- Iqbal J., Hussain H.N., Latif M., Baig M.B., Owayss A.A., Raweh H.S., Alqarni A.S. (2020). A field study investigating the insecticidal efficacy against *Diaphorina citri* Kuwayama on Kinnow mandarin, *Citrus reticulata* Blanco trees. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* **27**: 1237-1241.
- Kistner E.J., Melhem N., Carpenter E., Castillo M., Hoddle M.S. (2016). Abiotic and biotic mortality factors affecting Asian citrus psyllid (Hemiptera: Liviidae) demographics in Southern California. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* **109**: 860-871.
- Li W.B., Donadio L.C., Beretta M.J.G., Rossetti V., Sempionato O.R., Lemos E.G.M., Miranda V. (1996). Resistance or tolerance of citrus species and varieties to citrus variegated chlorosis. *Intl. Citrus Congr.* **1**: 283-285.
- Liu Y.H., Tsai J.H. (2000). Effects of temperature on biology and life table parameters of the Asian citrus psyllid, *Diaphorina citri* Kuwayama (Homoptera: Psyllidae). *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **137**: 201-206.
- Roma Tandel D.S.P., Pandya H.V. (2020). Population dynamics of citrus psylla, *Diaphorina citri* Kuwayama in relation to abiotic factor. *Int. J. Commun. Syst.* **8**: 2112-2116.
- Sajid A, Ghazanfar MU, Iftikhar Y, Ahmad S and Rauf S, (2021). Incidence and molecular detection of greening disease in two citrus cultivars in Sargodha, Pakistan. *Sarhad J. Agric.* **37**: 296-313.
- Sajid A, Iftikhar Y, Ghazanfar MU, Mubeen M, Hussain Z and Moya-Elizondo EA, (2022). Morpho-chemical characterization of Huanglongbing in mandarin (*Citrus reticulata*) and orange (*Citrus sinensis*) varieties from Pakistan. *Chil. J. Agric. Res.* **82**: 484-492.
- Sétamou M, Soto YL, Tachin M and Alabi OJ, (2023). Report on the first detection of Asian citrus psyllid *Diaphorina citri* Kuwayama (Hemiptera: Liviidae) in the Republic of Benin, West Africa. *Sci. Rep.* **13**: 801.
- Steel RGD, Torrie JH and Dickey D, (1997). Principles and procedures of statistics A biometrical approach 3<sup>rd</sup> edition McGraw Hill Book Company Inc. New York, USA, 334-381.
- Ullah R, Safi QS, Ali G and Ullah I, (2017). Who gets what? Citrus marketing in Bunir District of Pakistan. *Sarhad J. Agric.* **33**: 474-479.
- Usman M, Ashraf I, Chaudhary KM and Talib U, (2018). Factors impeding citrus supply chain in Central Punjab, Pakistan. *Int. J. Agric. Ext.* **6**: 01-05.
- Zeb Q, Khan I, Inayatullah M, Hayat Y, Khan MA, Saljoqi AR and Khan MA, (2011). Population dynamics of citrus whiteflies, aphids, citrus psylla leaf miner and their biocontrol agents in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. *Sarhad J. Agric.* **27**: 451-457.